STATE OF MICHIGAN

MICHIGAN SUPREME COURT

Bruce Behnke, Angela Behnke,

Plaintiffs/Appellees

Supreme Court #127459

COA: 248107

Lower Court Case Number: 01-5523 -NI

Estate of Karen McLean, deceased,

Defendant,

٧

and Auto Owners Insurance Company,

Defendants/Appellants

Eugene Petruska P29529 Gary Kozma P34625 Attorney for Plaintiffs/Appellees Post Office Box 540 Gaylord, MI 49735 (989) 732-2491, Fax: 732-3830

Richard Bensinger P23906 Attorney for Def/Appellant Auto Owners PO Box 1000 Gaylord, MI 49734 (989) 732-7536, Fax: 732-4922

Leanne Barnes Deuman P34111 Attorney for Def Estate (Not party to appeal) PO Box 516 Sault Ste. Marie. MI 498783 (906) 635-1513, Fax: 635-0848

127459 (as Resolut) Sirel (as Resolut) Plaintiffs/Appellees Supplemental Brief in Support of Answer to Defendants/Appellants'

Application for Leave to Appeal

FILED

AUG 1 7 2005

CORBIN R. DAVIS CLERK MICHIGAN SUPREME COURT

I. THE COURT SHOULD PEREMPTORILY AFFIRM THE COURT OF APPEALS OR DENY THE APPLICATION FOR LEAVE TO APPEAL BECAUSE THE DECISION OF THE COURT OF APPEALS COMPORTED WITH THIS COURT'S DECISION IN KREINER V FISCHER.

At issue in the instant case is whether Plaintiff suffered a serious impairment of body function as specifically defined by the Michigan legislature in MCL 500.3135(2). In interpreting that statute, the Court of Appeals had the benefit of this Court's decision in *Kreiner v Fischer*, (after remand), 471 Mich 109; 683 NW2d 611 (2004), decided only months earlier. The Court of Appeals relied extensively on this Court's elaboration of the legislature's use of the term "general ability to lead one's normal life", and faithfully applied the standards set forth in *Kreiner* to the instant case. For that reason, the instant case represents an ideal case for peremptory treatment.

The principles this Court espoused in *Kreiner v Fischer* are identified and applied by the Court of Appeals in pages 12-16 of the Court of Appeal's opinion. The Court of Appeals applied the list of factors this Court identified *Kreiner* to aid in determining whether Plaintiff's "general ability" to conduct the course of his normal life had been affected by his injuries. The Court of Appeals' analysis of each factor supported the overall conclusion that Plaintiff's "general ability" had been affected. Thus, the Court determined that the nature and extent of the impairment to Mr. Behnke "effectively foreclosed [him] from doing many activities because they aggravated his injuries and caused intense pain." (Slip opinion, page 13) Regarding the type and length of treatment required, the Court of Appeals correctly noted that there was no

cure for Mr. Behnke's aliment and the only treatment available was chronic pain relief.

(Id page 14). The duration of the injury was noted to be indefinite permitting Mr.

Behnke to perform only light duty work. (Id) Addressing the issue of the extent of any

residual impairment, the Court of Appeals noted that Mr. Behnke's career choices were

limited by his injury and that the injury likewise had significant impact on a substantial

number of activities outside the workplace. (Id) Finally, the Court of Appeals evaluated

Mr. Behnke's prognosis for eventual recovery and relied on Mr. Behnke's physician's

testimony at trial that Mr. Behnke's injury will be with him "probably the remainder of

his natural live". (Id) Taking these factors as a whole, this Court determined that the

statutory threshold set forth by the legislature and elaborated by this Court in Kreiner

had been exceeded.

Thus, the decision of the Court of Appeals is entirely consistent with this Court's

decision in Kreiner v Fischer and forthrightly applied the principles set forth in that

decision. The primary issue raised in this case is exactly the issue addressed

extensively in Kreiner v Fischer not even a year ago. There is no need for further

judicial review by this Court. Therefore, the Court should deny the Application for

Leave or enter a peremptory order affirming the Court of Appeals.

Respectfully submitted.

Dated: August 15, 2005

BY: Eugene Petruska

Attorney for Plaintiffs/Appellees

3

STATE OF MICHIGAN

MICHIGAN SUPREME COURT

Bruce Behnke, Angela Behnke,

Plaintiffs/Appellees

Supreme Court #127459

COA: 248107

Lower Court Case Number: 01-5523 -NI

٧

Estate of Karen McLean, deceased,

Defendant,

and Auto Owners Insurance Company,

Defendants/Appellants

Eugene Petruska P29529 Gary Kozma P34625 Attorney for Plaintiffs/Appellees Post Office Box 540 Gaylord, MI 49735 (989) 732-2491, Fax: 732-3830

Richard Bensinger P23906 Attorney for Def/Appellant Auto Owners PO Box 1000 Gaylord, MI 49734 (989) 732-7536, Fax: 732-4922

Leanne Barnes Deuman P34111 Attorney for Def Estate (Not party to appeal) PO Box 516 Sault Ste. Marie, MI 498783 (906) 635-1513, Fax: 635-0848